



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	i	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,892		10/10/2001	Sheng Hsin Liao	MR-2349-692 4449	
4586	7590	10/17/2003		EXAM	IINER
ROSENBE	RG, KLI	EIN & LEE		WAKS,	JOSEPH
3458 ELLIC	OTT CE!	NTER DRIVE-SUIT	E 101	<u></u>	
ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/972,892	LIAO, SHENG HSIN				
Advicery Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Joseph Waks	2834				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the corresp ndence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiphaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: The reversibly extendable telescopic pre-	ss handle is a nrew issue.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>				
	P	JOSEPH WAKS RIMARY EXAMINES				